

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,487	07/27/2006	Atsushi Ito	2006_1111A	9852
52349 WENDEROTT	7590 04/29/201 H. LIND & PONACK I	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1030 15th Stre	et, N.W.	MITCHELI	MITCHELL, JASON D	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7C 20000 1000	2193		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	10/587,487	ITO ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JASON D. MITCHELL	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						

	JASON D. MITCHELL	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
This application is abandoned in view of:							
⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on		•				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does							
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);						
(c) A reply was received onbut it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.							
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).	, ,					
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was , which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 							
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.						
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	tice of				
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.							
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR				
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for see	king court review				
7. X The reason(s) below:							
The examiner was unable to contact the applicant's	representative to confirm that no	response had be	en sent.				
	/Jason D. Mitchell/ Primary Examiner, Art Uni	t 2193					

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
US Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)